Gallatin County Open Lands Project Rating Chart

Project Identific	ation #
Funding Cycle:	May/November, 200
Scoring Date: _	

This project rating chart shall be used by Gallatin County Open Land Board members to rank and compare applications for funding. The maximum number of points that a project can receive is 100.

There are six major categories reflecting the goals of the Gallatin Open Lands Program. Each major category may have a maximum of 20 points, but must have a minimum of 8 possible points and the cumulative total must equal 100 points. The reviewer allocates points to the categories based on their judgment of the most important values. For example, if a reviewer allocates 20 pts each to categories 1 and 2(40 pts.) and 15 points to categories 3 through 5 (45 pts.) the total is 85, which means that category 6 can have a total of 15 points to equal 100 possible points. In order to be fair to all applicants in a review cycle, all applications must be judged on the same allocation of points to the categories and subcategories. The points available for a specific category should be placed in the box to the right of the category heading.

Within each major category there are 2 to 5 subcategories. The number of possible points you allocate to a major category should be allocated among the subcategories. (Note: We can allocate the points to the subcategories equally or at the discretion of the reviewer. The process works either way. It is simpler to allocate equally but perhaps more responsive to reviewer concerns if it is done at reviewer discretion. For this illustration I have assumed that they are equal.) To allocate points to the subcategories divide the category points by the number of subcategories. The allocation to the subcategories should be in the boxes below the category box.

Each of the subcategories is further broken down into ranking criteria, with the highest value at the top and the lowest value at the bottom. Once the points available for each subcategory have been determined, points are awarded based on where the applicant falls within the range of criteria. For example, if the property size is 800 acres and there are 5 points available in subcategory 1a, the maximum amount that you can allocate to this particular subcategory is 4 (1 less than the maximum). Only the highest number of points can be allocated to the highest criteria in each subcategory. Points are recorded in the appropriate subcategory box and then the total for the subcategories is entered in the category score box. When all the categories have been scored, the total of all categories is entered at the end of the form.

To illustrate how to apply the points, consider the following example. Assume that you had allocated 20 points to category 1, with 5 points then allocated to each of the subcategories. The property you are reviewing is an 800-acre ranch with a 550-acre easement, permitting 2 additional home sites and retaining all water rights. You would

Project Rating Chart Adopted 6/4/02 by County Commission

allocate 4 points to 1a as determined above, 3 points to 1b, 4 points to 1c and 5 points to 1d for a total of 16 points out of the possible total of 20.

Remember, you must use the same scoring formula for all projects in a particular funding cycle. Therefore, in the previous example all applicants would be allowed a maximum of 20 points for category 1, with each of the subcategories allowed a maximum of 5 points.

1. General De	scription	Total Pts. Available	
Pts. Av	vailable for 1a, 1b, 1c		
1a. Size o	f Property		
800 to400 to100 to	,200 acres 1,199 acres 799 acres 399 acres f Property to be restricted by	easement	
Over 8600 to400 to200 to	300 acres 799 acres 599 acres 399 acres 199 acres		
1c. Numbe	er of Reserved Homesites		
Zero oTwo oThree o	r Three		
1d. Retaine	ed Water Rights		
• Water	ter rights to be retained by eas rights sufficient to sustain vation values to be retained	sement	
			#1 Score

•	Quality of Ag. Resource and Economic Viability Pts. Available for 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d	ailable	
2a.	Soils Classified as Prime, Statewide Importance or Locally Sign	nificant by NRCS	S
•	Over 80% 50 to 79% 30 to 49% 10 to 29%		
2b.	Amount of Irrigated Land		
•	Over 200 acres 100 to 199 acres 50 to 99 acres		
2c.	Average annual AUM's in past 5 years		
•	300 or more 100 to 299 75 to 99		
2d.	Average annual crop/hay production in past 5 yrs.		
•	Over 800 tons of hay/alfalfa and/or over 15,000 Bu. grain production and/or 65,000 Cwt. potatoes 600 to 799 tons of hay/alfalfa and/or 12,000 to 14,999 Bu. grain production and/or 55,000 Cwt to 64,999 Cwt. potatoes 400 to 599 tons hay/alfalfa and/or 9,000 to 11,999 Bu, grain production and/or 45,000 Cwt. to 54,999 Cwt. Potatoes 200 to 399 tons hay/alfalfa and/or 7,000 to 8,999 Bu, grain production and/or 30,000 Cwt. to 44,999 Cwt. Potatoes		
		#2 Score	

3.	Quality of Natural Resources Important to Sustaining Wildlife, Natural Communities and Water Quality	
	Pts. Avail. Pts. Avail.	
	3a. Significant Habitat Diversity (according to Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks)	
	Excellent Good Fair (local significance)	
	 Significant Water Resource or Fishery Perennial River or Class 1 stream (MFWP) Perennial Stream or Creek or Class 2,3 or 4 Stream (MFWP) Lake, ponds or wetlands with a cumulative total over twenty acres in size #3 Score 	
4.	Pts. Available Pts. Available Pts. Available	
	4a. Public Recreational Benefits	
	Establishes or maintains important public trail linkage Establishes or maintains public fishing access Establishes or maintains access to public lands Establishes or maintains public hunting access	
	4b. Scenic Values	
	From federal or state hwy. corridor or if identified in local plans or ordinances as having high scenic value From primary county road From minor county road	
	4c. Public Policy	

Project Rating Chart Adopted 6/4/02 by County Commission

 In area where preservation of open space, agricultural or rural character is encouraged by adopted land use plan or regulation Consistent with the intent, goals and policies of applicable land use plans or regulations 	
5. Continuation of Agriculture and/or Natural Values Pts. Available	#4 Score
Pts. Available for 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d	
5a. Proximity to Other Ranches or Significant Wildlife or Natur	ral Areas
• Surrounded	
Contiguous to one or moreWithin 1/2 mile	
5b. Proximity to other ranches or natural areas protected by co or to public lands	onservation easements
ContiguousWithin 1/2 mileWithin 1 mile	
5c. Human Resources	
Family members operate ranchOpportunity for new or existing rancher to expand	
5d. Continuation of Ranching Heritage: ranch owned by same	family for:
• Over 100 years	
• 75 to 99 years	
• 50 to 74 years	
• 25 to 49 years	
	#5 Score

Project Rating Chart Adopted 6/4/02 by County Commission

6. <u>Leverage</u>	Pts. Available
	i is. Available
Pts. Available for 6a, 6b, 6c,	
6a. Landowner Contribution and/or	Terms
• 50% or more of the value	
• 25 to 49% of the value	
• 15 to 24% of the value	
6b. Project partners: cost covered	by partners
• 80% or more of the cost	
• 60 to 79% of the cost	
• 40 to 59% of the cost	
• 20 to 39% of the cost	
6c. Matching conservation easemen of a conservation easement.	ts: % of project cost matched with new donation
• More than 50%	
• 20 to 49%	
• 10 to 19%	
	#6 Score
Total Po	pints for Application