

American Planning Association

122 South Michigan Avenue, 1600 Chicago, IL 60603 (312) 431-9100

National Association of State Universities and Land Grant Colleges

1 Dupont Circle, Suite 710, Washington, D.C. 20036 Web address: http://www.nasulgc.nche.edu

Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service

(202) 720-4423

Web address: http://www.reeusda.gov

Land Trust Alliance

1319 F Street, Washington, D.C. 20004-1106 (202) 638-4725

Lincoln Institute of Land Policy

113 Battle Street Cambridge, MA 02138-3400 (617) 661-3016

National Association of State Departments of Agriculture (NASDA)

1156 15th Street, N.W., Suite 1020, Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 296-9680

Web Address: http://www.nasda.hq.org

National Association of Conservation Districts

509 Capitol Court, NE Washington, D.C., 20002 (202) 547-6223 http://www.nacdnet.org

Natural Resources Conservation Service

South Agriculture Building 14th Street and Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20250 (202) 720-7671 http://www.nrcs.usda.gov

Soil and Water Conservation Society

7515 N.E. Ankeny Road Ankeny, IA 50021-9764 (515) 289-2331

Web Address: http://www.swcs.org

U.S. Department of Agriculture

Washington, D.C. 20250 (202) 720-2791

Web Address: http://www.usda.gov

Adequate Public Facilities Ordinance

A form of comprehensive growth management that prevents new homes from being built in a community until municipal services such as sewers, roads, public water supplies and schools are available to serve the new residents.

Agricultural Conservation Easement

A legal agreement restricting development on farmland. Land subjected to an ACE is generally restricted to farming and open space use. See also conservation easement.

Agricultural District

A legally recognized geographic area formed by one or more landowners and approved by one or more government agencies, designed to keep land in agriculture. Agricultural districts are created for fixed, renewable terms. Enrollment is voluntary; landowners receive a variety of benefits that may include eligibility for differential assessment, limits on annexation and eminent domain, protection against unreasonable government regulation and private nuisance lawsuits, and eligibility for purchase of agricultural conservation easement programs. Also known as agricultural preserves, agricultural security areas, agricultural preservation districts, agricultural areas, agricultural incentive areas, agricultural development areas and agricultural protection areas.

Agricultural Protection Zoning (APZ)

Zoning is a form of local land use regulation. Agricultural protection zoning ordinances protect the agricultural land base by limiting non-farm uses, prohibiting high-density development, requiring houses to be built on small lots and restricting subdivision of land into parcels that are too small to farm.

APZ takes many forms:

Exclusive agricultural zoning prohibits non-farm residences and most non-agricultural activities; exceptions are made for parcels of land that are not suitable for farming.

Large minimum lot size zoning ordinances require a certain number of acres for every non-farm dwelling, typically at least 20 acres in the eastern United States or at least 35 acres in other regions.

Area-based allowance ordinances establish a formula for the number of non-farm dwellings permitted per acre, but houses are typically built on small lots.

Fixed area-based allowance ordinances specify a certain number of units per acre.

Under sliding scale area-based allowance ordinances, the number of dwellings permitted varies with the size of the tract. Owners of smaller parcels are allowed to divide their land into more lots on a per-acre basis than owners of larger parcels.

Annexation

The incorporation of land into an existing community that results in a change in the community's boundary. Annexation generally refers to the inclusion of newly incorporated land but can also involve the transfer of land from one municipality to another.

Appraisal

A systematic method of determining the market value of property.

Bargain Sale

The sale of property or an interest in property for less than fair market value. If property is sold to a qualifying public agency or conservation organization, the difference between fair market value and the agreed-upon price can be claimed as a tax-deductible charitable gift for income tax purposes. Bargain sales are also known as conservation sales.

Buffers

Physical barriers that separate farms from land uses that are incompatible with agriculture. Buffers help safeguard farms from vandals and trespassers, and protect homeowners from some of the negative impacts of commercial farming. Vegetated buffers and topographic barriers reduce the potential for clashes between farmers and their non-farming neighbors. Buffers may be required by local zoning ordinances.

Circuit Breaker Tax Relief

A tax abatement program that permits eligible landowners to take some or all of the property tax they pay on farmland and farm buildings as a credit to offset their state income tax. Generally, farmers are eligible for a credit when property taxes exceed a set percentage of their income.

Cluster Zoning

A form of zoning that allows houses to be built close together in areas where large minimum lot sizes are generally required. By grouping houses on small sections of a large parcel of land, cluster zoning can be used to protect open space. Also known as cluster development, land preservation subdivision, open land subdivision and open space subdivision.

Community Supported Agriculture (CSA)

A form of direct marketing of farm products that involves customers paying the farmer in advance for a weekly share of the harvest. Customers are often called shareholders. In some cases, shareholders may participate in farm work and farm decisions. Farms that use this marketing strategy are called "CSA farms" or "CSAs." CSA is also known as subscription farming.

Comprehensive Growth Management

A state, regional, county or municipal government program to control the timing, location and character of land development.

Comprehensive Plan

A regional, county or municipal document that contains a vision of how the community will grow and change and a set of plans and policies to guide land use decisions. Comprehensive plans are also known as general plans and master plans.

Conservation Easement

Legally recorded, voluntary agreements that limit land to specific uses. Easements may apply to entire parcels of land or to specific parts of the property. Most are permanent; term easements impose restrictions for a limited number of years. Land protected by conservation easements

remains on the tax rolls and is privately owned and managed; landowners who donate permanent conservation easements are generally entitled to tax benefits. See also agricultural conservation easement and purchase of agricultural conservation easements.

Corn Suitability Rating (CSR)

A numerical system for rating the productivity of farmland, used primarily in Iowa.

Cost of Community Services (COCS) Study

A case study method of allocating local government expenses to different land use categories. COCS studies reveal how much it costs to provide services to privately owned residential, commercial, industrial, forest and agricultural land.

Current Use Assessment

See differential assessment.

Deferred Taxation

A form of differential assessment that permits eligible land to be assessed at its value for agriculture. Taxes are based on how much money the land could produce in crops or livestock, instead of its speculative value for development. Deferred taxation is similar to preferential assessment, but landowners must pay some or all of the taxes that were excused if they later convert land to ineligible uses. Rollback taxes assess the difference between taxes paid under differential assessment and taxes that would have been due if the land was assessed at fair market value.

Development Rights

Development rights entitle property owners to develop land in accordance with local land use regulations. These rights may be sold to public agencies or qualified nonprofit organizations through a PACE (or PDR) program. Sale of development rights to a government agency or land trust generally does not pass any affirmative interest in the property. Rather than the right to develop the land, the buyer acquires the responsibility to enforce the negative covenants or restrictions stipulated in the development rights agreement.

Development rights may also be sold to individuals or a government agency through TDR (or TDC) programs. In this case, the buyer does acquire a positive right to develop land, but the right is transferred to a site that can accommodate growth.

Differential Assessment

An agricultural property tax relief program that allows eligible farmland to be assessed at its value for agriculture rather than its fair market value, which reflects "highest and best" use. Takes three different forms: preferential assessment, deferred taxation and restrictive agreements. Also known as current use assessment, current use valuation, farm use valuation and use assessment.

Downzoning

A change in the zoning for a particular area that results in lower residential densities. For example, a change from a zoning ordinance that requires 10 acres per dwelling to an ordinance that requires 40 acres per dwelling is a downzoning.

Farm Bureau

A national, non-profit advocacy organization for farmers. The Farm Bureau has chapters in all fifty states and in many agricultural counties.

Farm Link

A program that matches retiring farmers who want to keep their land in agriculture with beginning farmers who want to buy a farm. Farm Link programs are designed to facilitate farm transfer, usually between farmers who are not related to each other. Also known as Land Link.

Farming on the edge

Refers to the problems of farming in developing communities. "Farming on the Edge" is also the title of two American Farmland Trust reports.

Fee Simple

A form of land ownership that includes all property rights, including the right to develop the land.

Generally Accepted Agricultural Management Practices (GAAMPs)

Agricultural practices that are widely used by farmers, promoted by agricultural institutions such as Extension and comply with federal and state environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. Some states have specific definitions of GAAMPs that may be used to determine whether a particular farm practice constitutes a public or private nuisance.

Geographic Information System (GIS)

A method of storing geographic information on computers. Geographic information can be obtained from a variety of sources, including topographical maps, soil maps, aerial and satellite photographs, and remote sensing technology. This information can then be used to create special maps for recordkeeping and decision-making purposes. GIS systems may be used to maintain maps of protected land or make decisions about which farmland to protect.

Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA)

A numerical system that measures the quality of farmland. It is generally used to select tracts of land to be protected or developed.

Land Link

See farm link.

Land Trust

A private, nonprofit conservation organization formed to protect natural resources such as productive farm and forest land, natural areas, historic structures and recreational areas. Land trusts purchase and accept donations of conservation easements. They educate the public about the need to conserve land, and some provide land use and estate planning services to local governments and individual citizens.

Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO)

A California state agency existing in each county, LAFCOs consist of commissioners from city councils, county boards of supervisors and members of the general public. They function as boundary commissions with the power to approve or deny requests for annexation of land from unincorporated (county) areas into incorporated (city) areas. LAFCOs also have authority to incorporate cities, establish or modify "sphere of influence" boundaries, and create or expand special district boundaries.

Mitigation Ordinance

An ordinance or section of an ordinance or state law that requires developers of agricultural land to protect an equivalent quantity of land with similar characteristics in the same political jurisdiction. In some cases, developers may satisfy the mitigation requirement by paying a fee.

National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)

Formerly known as the Soil Conservation Service, NRCS is a federal agency within the U.S. Department of Agriculture that provides leadership and administers programs to help people conserve, improve and sustain our natural resources and environment. The agency provides technical assistance to farmers and funds soil conservation and farmland protection programs. It also maintains statistics on farmland conversion. NRCS has offices in every state and in most agricultural counties.

Planned Unit Development (PUD)

A tract of land that is controlled by one entity and is planned and developed as a whole, either all at once or in programmed stages. PUDs are developed according to detailed site plans and may incorporate both residential and commercial land uses. They generally include improvements such as roads and utilities.

Preferential Assessment

A form of differential assessment that permits eligible land to be assessed at its value for agriculture. Taxes are based on how much money the land could produce in crops or livestock, instead of on its speculative value for development.

Purchase of Agricultural Conservation Easements (PACE)

PACE programs pay farmers to keep their land available for agriculture. Landowners sell an agricultural conservation easement to a qualified government agency or private conservation organization. Landowners retain full ownership and use of their land for agricultural purposes. PACE programs do not give government agencies the right to develop land. Development rights are extinguished in exchange for compensation. PACE is also known as purchase of development rights (PDR) and as agricultural preservation restriction (APR) in Massachusetts.

Purchase of Development Rights (PDR)

See purchase of agricultural conservation easements.

Real Estate Transfer Tax

A state or local tax imposed on the sale of real property.

Receiving Area

Areas designated to accommodate development transferred from agricultural or natural areas through a TDR (or TDC) program.

Restrictive Agreements

A type of differential assessment that requires landowners to sign a contract to keep land in agricultural use for 10 years or more as a condition of eligibility for tax relief. If the landowners gives notice of intent to terminate the contract, assessed value of the property increases during the balance of the term to the full fair market value.

Right-to-Farm Law

A state law or local ordinance that protects farmers and farm operations from public and private nuisance lawsuits. A private nuisance interferes with an individual's use and enjoyment of his or her property. Public nuisances involve actions that injure the public at large.

Sending Area

Area to be protected through a transfer of development rights program. Landowners may sell their development rights to private individuals or a government agency; the rights are used to build homes in a designated receiving area.

Setback

A zoning provision requiring new homes to be separated from existing farms by a specified distance and vice versa.

Special Assessment

A charge that state and local governments can impose on landowners whose land benefits from the construction of roads or sewer lines adjacent to their property. The amount of the special assessment is usually the pro rata share of the cost of installing the improvement.

Taking

An illegal government appropriation of private property or property rights. Traditionally, takings law has addressed physical seizures of land, but regulations that deprive landowners of certain property rights may also result in a taking in special circumstances. Courts decide whether a particular government action constitutes a taking.

Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Program

A program that allows landowners to transfer the right to develop one parcel of land to a different parcel of land to prevent farmland conversion. TDR programs establish "sending areas" where land is to be protected by agricultural conservation easements and "receiving areas" where land may be developed at a higher density than would otherwise be allowed by local zoning. Landowners in the sending area sell development rights to landowners in the receiving area, generally through the private market. When the development rights are sold on a parcel, a conservation easement is recorded and enforced by the local government. In some cases, the local government may establish a "TDR bank" to buy and sell development rights. The development rights created by TDR programs are referred to as transferable development rights (TDRs) or transferable development credits (TDCs).

Upzoning

A change in the zoning for a particular area which results in higher residential densities. For example, a change from a zoning ordinance that requires 100 acres per dwelling to an ordinance that requires 25 acres per dwelling is an upzoning.

Urban Growth Boundary

A theoretical line drawn around a community that defines an area to accommodate anticipated growth for a given period of time, generally 20 years. Urban growth boundaries are a growth management technique designed to prevent sprawl. They are often used to guide decisions on infrastructure development, such as the construction of roads and the extension of municipal water and sewer services.

A	
	Agricultural marketing, cont.
Accepted agricultural practices 62, 170, 182, 235, 296 Act 200, Vt. 31	Pika Place Med - W.
Act 250, Vt. 30, 31	Pike Place Market, King County, Wash. 220, 279, 283, 284
Act 27, Wis. 160	HOLING COULTY Z41
Act 319, Pa. 151, 153	Thurston County, Wash. 291
Adams County, Pa. 92, 95	Agricultural nuisance notice (see also nuisance disclaimer) 62, 212
Adequate Public Factor Conv	Francisco (See 4150) 39 [[Chilithia] diopairal 4 64 . 20
Adequate Public Facilities Ordinance 317 Calvert County, Md. 137	/ == 1 237, 233, 31/
Affordable housing 22, 50, 00,	California 201, 220, 234, 235
Affordable housing 32, 59, 92, 231, 232, 282, 304	Minnesota 151, 202, 205, 207, 208
1 1 1 23 (see Agricultural and Farmland Drot n	Agricultural Protection Act, N.Y. 159, 202
	Agricultural protection zoning (APZ) (see also agricultural zone)
Agricultural and Farmland Protection Boards (AFPBs), N.Y. 38, 202, 211, 216	
Agricultural Areas Act, Iowa 211, 213	
Agricultural conservation easements 14, 20, 22, 29, 31, 36, 39, 68, 83, 96, 102, 105, 106, 138, 157, 201, 202, 29, 31, 36, 39, 68,	
' ''' '''''	- anowance 37, 317
257, 261, 263, 267, 268, 279, 307, 321 Agricultural diseries	Fixed area-based allowance 59, 317
Agricultural district, voluntary (see also agricultural preserve) 14, 18,	Quarter-quarter zoning 59
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Sliding scale area-based allowance 59, 317
174, 181, 182, 185, 197, 198, 200-202, 204-207, 210-216, 220, 268, 305, 309, 317	Cambrina 31, 32, /1
-40, 400, 303, 309, 31/	Marin County 66
Agricultural district, zoning 55, 238, 240, 241, 258, 287, 288	Napa County 53, 59, 69
3-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	San Mateo County 61
	Sonoma County 71
California 198, 201, 203, 206	Ventura County 53 Colorado 52
Delaware 67, 68, 198, 203, 205-207, 210, 213-215	
	Jackson County 61
Iowa 38, 198, 203, 207, 210, 211, 215	Routt County 62
Kentucky 199, 203, 206, 211-214	Exclusive agricultural zoning 58, 317
Maryland 199, 203, 206, 213-215	Non-exclusive agricultural zoning 58
Calvert County 215	11011da 51, 52
Massachusetts 199, 204, 206 Michigan 214	Hawaii 52
Minnesote 29, 100, 202, 202	Idaho, Fremont County 63, 76-77 Illinois 53
Minnesota 38, 199, 202, 203, 205, 207-211, 213-215	
"Breattail Laild Preservation Law 47, 205	McHenry County 53
Agricultural Land Preservation Program 22, 202, 207 Anoka County 208, 209	DeKalb County 59, 65
Carver County 208, 209	Indiana, Clinton County 57, 59, 63, 64, 71 Iowa 52
Metropolitan Accients Lp	
Metropolitan Agricultural Preserves Program, Minn. 202, 207, 208	Black Hawk County 61, 65, 70, 71
Minneapolis St. Bard 20 100	Scott County 58, 65
Minneapolis-St. Paul area 38, 199, 202, 203, 205, 207-209 New Jersey 199, 203, 210, 211, 213	Story County 15, 54
J 1 203, Z10, 711 713	Large minimum lot size zoning 317 Maryland 49, 52, 71
New York 38, 199, 201-203, 205-207, 210-216 Washington County 212	Carroll County 69, 71
Orange County 212	Montromore C 74
North Carolina 39 100 204 206 and	Montgomery County 71 Minnesota 52, 59, 67
North Carolina 38, 199, 204, 206, 207, 212, 215 Ohio 199, 202, 203, 205-207, 213, 215	Ordinances 32, 52, 50, 50 (4, 52, 52)
Pennsylvania 199, 201, 203, 210-213, 215	Ordinances 32, 53-56, 59-61, 65, 67, 70, 157, 277 Oregon xiii, 52, 58
Chester County 215	Pennsylvania 51, 52, 71
Provisions of agricultural disease at	Fast Honough Tar. 1: 44
Provisions of agricultural district laws 198-199 Tennessee 199, 203, 213, 215	East Hopewell Township 61
Utah 199, 204, 207, 210, 211, 215	Lancaster County 15, 54, 59, 71 Percent of land 61
Virginia 38, 199, 203-207, 210, 211, 213	Site design regions - 11 to
Wisconsin 214	Site design review guidelines and criteria 62 Virginia 52
Agricultural impact statement 198	Washington 51, 52, 67, 71
Agricultural Incentive Areas I	King Course (6)
Agricultural Incentive Areas Law, Mass. 204, 206	King County 69
Agricultural Land Credit Fund, lowa 151, 155, 156	Spokane County 58, 61, 65, 72-78
Agricultural Land Preservation Law, Minn. 67, 205	Thurston County 69, 71
- S. Cultural Lang Preservation Program M: 32 202	Walla Walla County 15, 70
O THE STATE OF THE	Whitman County 58, 71
Agricultural Lands Strategy Map, Del. 91 Agricultural marketing 38	Wisconsin 51, 52, 58, 71
Harford County, Md 270	Marathon County 65
Howard County, Md. 265	Wyoming 49, 52
Montgomery Course Not a co	Agricultural real estate taxes 148, 149
Montgomery County, Md. 262 Napa County, Calif. 236	
Tapa County, Call. 236	

	Blue Earth County, Minn. 123, 127
gricultural tax programs (see also differential assessment and circuit	Boulder, Colo. 123
breaker tax relief credits) 67, 145-162, 214	Branford, Conn. 85
Arizona 152, 156	Buckingham Township, Pa. 124
California 152, 154, 157	Buffers 6, 97, 98, 112, 244, 318
Circuit breaker tax relief credits 147	Burlington, Vt. 100, 111, 123, 124, 135
Connecticut 147, 152	parington, vi. 100, 122, 122, 7
Differential assessment 147, 153-155	C
Georgia 152, 154	California 4, 10, 21, 35, 37, 39, 40, 41, 51-53, 55, 65, 71, 83, 85,
Hawaii 152, 154	88, 90-92, 94-96, 101, 102, 105, 121-123, 133, 152-154, 157,
Illinois 152, 157	171, 173, 176, 180, 184, 185, 187-189, 201-203, 206, 219,
Indiana 152, 155	220, case study 223-249, 303, 321
Iowa 147, 152, 157	Agricultural Land Stewardship program 94
Agricultural Land Credit Fund 151, 156	Environmental Quality Act 95
Family Farm Tax Credit 156	Delta Protection Act 246
Maine 152	Farm Bureau 173, 184, 185, 187
Maryland 152, 157	Land Conservation (Williamson) Act 201, 225
Calvert County 157	Park and Recreational Facilities Act 226
Massachusetts 152	State Coastal Conservancy 226
Minnesota 152, 154	Wildlife, Coastal and Parkland Initiative 226
Metropolitan Agricultural Preserves Act 151	Cortese/Knox Local Government Reorganization Act 226
Minneapolis-St. Paul 157	Marin County case study 228-233
Michigan 151, 152, 155, 161, 162, 163	Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act 226
New York 147, 151, 154, 156, 157, 159	Napa County case study 233-237
North Carolina 152, 157	Proposition 13 226
Ohio 152, 157	Proposition 70 231, 232, 239
Pennsylvania 152, 154, 157	Solano County case study 243-247
"Clean and Green" (Act 319) 151	Sonoma County case study 237-242
Rhode Island 152 State differential assessment and circuit-breaker tax	Calvert County, Md. 123, 125, 131, 132, 136-139, 157, 215, 270
	Caps on development 227
relief laws 152	Caroline County, Md. 123, 125
Utah 152, 157	Carroll County, Md. 66, 69, 71, 85, 150, 166, 219, 220, 256, case
Vermont 152, 158-159 Virginia 152, 157	study 257, 258, 267, 270-271, 307
Wisconsin 151, 152, 155-157, 160	Agricultural Zoning District, 258
Approximately ransfer tax Md. 254, 255, 258, 26/	Critical Farms Program 258
Agricultural value 11, 39, 89, 94, 105, 106, 108, 153, 207, 225,	Local Incentive Program 258
255 283 288	Carroll Township, Pa. COCS study 150
April 1 to 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20	Carver County, Minn. 208-209
176, 182, 219, 221, 234, 258, 269, 277, 286, 287, 289, 290, 308	Chanceford Township, Pa. 124
Alabama 35, 40, 152, 171, 175, 176	Charles County, Md. 123, 125, 132, 136
Amenia N.Y. COCS study 150	Chelan County, Wash. 298 Chester County, Pa. 88, 92, 95, 103, 124, 215
American Farmland Trust 3, 22, 51, 150, 256, 306, 309, 310	Circuit breaker tax relief programs (see also agricultural tax
Farming on the Edge study 3	programs) 17, 29, 34, 67, 147, 149, 151-153, 155, 156, 159-
American Planning Association 134, 240, 313	
Annexation 14, 18, 37, 50, 53, 65, 66, 68, 197, 212, 227, 232, 233,	163, 318 Iowa
243, 244, 246, 307, 317, 321	Agricultural Land Credit Fund 34, 151, 155, 156
Anoka County, Minn. 208-209	Family Farm Tax Credit 156
Appraisal 42, 98, 99, 107, 108, 131, 258, 288, 318	Michigan 34, 155, 162
APZ (see agricultural protection zoning)	PA 116 34, 155, 163
Arizona 40, 51, 88, 152, 156, 171, 176	New York 34, 156, 159, 162
Arkansas 40, 152, 171, 176, 180	Wisconsin 34, 67, 155, 160
	Clailam County, Wash. 298
В	Clean Air Act (federal) 6, 173
Ballot measures to limit growth 235	Clean and Green (Pa. Act. 319) 151, 153
Baltimore County, Md. 219, 221, 253, 255-257, 262, case study	Clean Water Act (federal) 6, 173
265-267, 271, 305	Clinton County, Ind. 57, 60, 63, 64
Agriculture Task Force 270	Cloverdale, Calif. 242
Plan for the Valleys 265	Cluster zoning 33, 113, 127, 318
Resource Conservation Zone 266	COCS see Cost of Community Services
Bargain sale 101, 239, 318	Codorus Township, Pa. 124
Becket, Mass., COCS study 150	Colorado 4, 29, 40, 49, 52, 62, 88, 123, 152, 171, 176, 182
Beekman, N.Y., COCS study 150	Competition for land 304, 309
Benicia, Calif., 246	Comprehensive Growth Management 21, 38, 52, 317, 318
Berks County, Pa. 88, 103, 124	Comprehensive plan 30-33, 53-55, 63, 65, 70, 205, 219, 277, 279,
Best management practices 39, 91, 94, 268, 278	282, 286, 294-298, 308, 318
Bethel Township, Pa., COCS study 150	
Black Hawk County, Iowa 55, 61, 65, 70, 71	

Comprehensive planting 17, 74 as	
Comprehensive planning 17, 31, 32, 126, 129, 219, 228, 240, 259, 265, 282, 297	Eden, N.Y. 124
Stanislaus County, Calif. 32	Eminent domain 14, 10, 20, 27
Lancaster County, Pa. 32	Eminent domain 14, 18, 29, 37, 197, 198, 201, 212, 230, 307, 317 Agricultural Lands Condemnation
Concentrated animal feeding operations 173, 186	Agricultural Lands Condemnation Approval Board, Pa. 212 Estate planning 12, 264
Connecticut 40, 84, 88, 90, 92, 95, 97, 198	
Connecticut 40, 84, 88, 90-92, 95-97, 100, 101, 103, 105, 123, 147, 150, 152, 156, 171, 76	Exclusive agricultural protection zoning 30, 52, 58, 277, 317 Executive orders 17, 29
Connecticut River Valley, Mass. 88	Colorado 29
Conservation easements 14, 19, 20, 22, 22	Kentucky 29
Conservation easements 14, 18, 20, 22, 29, 31, 35, 36, 39, 41, 68, 83, 92, 96, 98, 102, 105, 106, 126, 129, 129, 129, 129, 129, 129, 129, 129	Massachusetts 29
	Michigan 29
226, 227, 229-231, 238, 239, 254, 256, 257, 261, 263, 267, 268, 279, 307, 319, 321	Ohio 29
Debt-for-Easements program 103	Onio 27
Monitoring and and forest	F
Monitoring and enforcement 66, 105, 280 Uniform Conservation Easement Act 35	
Valuing easements 99, 255, 264, 270	Fair market value 34, 50, 94, 99, 102, 105, 108, 131, 147, 149, 153, 154, 158, 161, 253, 255, 262, 288, 210, 288, 11
Appraisal 42, 99, 00, 107, 107	154, 158, 161, 253, 255, 262, 288, 319, 322
Appraisal 42, 98, 99, 107, 108, 131, 258, 288, 318 "Base plus" system 261	Fairfield, Calif. 243, 244, 246, 307 Farm Bill
Sase plus system Z61	1985 Farm Bill 6
Formulas 99, 102-104, 111-113, 255, 261, 264 Point system 255	1990 Farm Bill 6 7 an
Conservation Futures I am NV 1 222	1990 Farm Bill 6, 7, 20
Conservation Futures Levy, Wash. 288, 298 Conservation organizations 35, 36, 96, 98	1996 Farm Bill (Federal Agricultural Improvement and Reform
Conversion (see farmland conversion)	Act) 6, 9, 20, 37, 88, 102
Cooperative extension 166 262	Farm Bureau 35, 53, 70, 159-161, 173, 185, 187, 210, 212, 216, 231, 234, 238, 240, 244, 260, 201, 202, 203, 210, 212, 216,
Cooperative extension 166, 262, 292, 293	2 17 1
Corn Suitability Ratings (CSR) 22, 56, 57, 65, 319	California 173, 184, 185, 187
Cortese/Knox Local Government Reorganization Act, Calif. 226	County Farm Bureaus 53, 70, 231, 234, 238, 244 lowa 210
Cost of Community Services (COCS) 7, 8, 149, 150, 161, 319 CSR (see Corn Suitability Ratings)	Michigan 161
Cumberland County, Pa. 103	Now Yest 150 and a
Current use assessment from 1 199	New York 159, 212, 216
Current use assessment (see also differential assessment) 34, 147, 305, 319	Sonoma County, Calif. 238 Wisconsin 160
Current use valuation (see current use assessment)	Farm link programs 283, 320
(see current use assessment)	Farm Services Agency, U.S. D.
D	Farm Services Agency, U.S. Department of Agriculture 6, 262
Davis, Calif. 33, 41-44, 103	Farm use valuation (see also differential assessment) 34, 319 Farm Viability Project, Mass. 38
Debt-for-Easements program, Vt. 103	
Deerfield, Mass., COCS study 150	Farming on the edge 3, 16, 219, 297, 303, 320 Farmington, Conn., COCS study 150
Deferred taxation (see also differential	Farmland conversion 11 14 16 17
Deferred taxation (see also differential assessment) 152-154, 207, 319 DeKalb County, Ill. 59, 65	Farmland conversion 11-14, 16, 17, 19, 29, 30, 32-34, 39, 162, 163, 227, 253, 297, 309, 321, 322
Delaware 39, 40, 51, 67, 68, 85, 88, 90-92, 96, 105, 106, 152, 171, 176, 182, 201, 203, 205, 207, 310, 212, 213, 213, 213, 213, 213, 213, 213	Farming on the Edge study 3
176, 182, 201, 203, 205-207, 210, 212-215	Federal policy causes of 4
Agricultural Lands Strategy Map 91	Process and causes of 11-14
State inheritance taxes, exemption from 213	Impermanence syndrome 13
= True True Calif Act. Unit /42	Texas 4
Department of Food and Agricultura Many (DEA) as	Walla Walla County, Wash. 10
	Farmland Information Center 20, 20, 71, 407
Development rights (see also transfer of development rights) 36, 83, 155, 201, 261, 319	Farmland Information Center 20, 39, 71, 107, 139, 159, 163, 186, 216, 306, 310
155, 201, 261, 319	Farmland mitigation ordinances 103
Differential assessment 17, 29, 34, 39, 67, 147-149, 151-155, 157-164, 198, 201, 207, 214, 221, 352, 217, 229, 357, 157-168, 188, 201, 207, 214, 221, 252, 217, 229, 2	Davis, Calif. 33, 41-44
164, 198, 201, 207, 214, 221, 253, 317, 319, 321, 322 California 154	King County, Wash. 33
California 154	Farmland Preservation Enabling Act, N.C. 206
Governor's Tax Force on Current Use, Vt. 159	Farmland Preservation Program Viv. C. 206
Minn., Green Acres program 154, 207-209	Farmland Preservation Program, King County, Wash. 22, 105, 277, 279, 280, 285, 287, 291, 307, 308
Vermont Agriculture and Managed Forest Land Use	Farmland Protection Policy Act (6-1-1)
Value program 158	Farmland Protection Policy Act (federal) (see also Natural Resources Conservation Service) 19, 22, 29
Direct marketing (see agricultural marketing)	Farmland Protection Program (federal) 20, 21
Pin, IV. I., COUN study 150	Farms for the Future Act (federal) 20
Downzoning 32, 106, 121, 131, 139, 258, 260, 261, 266, 286, 287, 294, 298, 319	Federal Insecticide Funcicide and D. L. L. L.
294, 298, 319	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act 6, 173 Fee simple ownership 41, 245, 320
Dunn, Wis., COCS study 150	Fishkill, N.Y., COCS study 150
Durham, Conn., COCS study 150	Florida 4, 51, 52, 123, 152, 171, 176, 179
7 - 2 50 study 130	Florida 4, 51, 52, 123, 152, 171, 176, 181 Formulas to value essenge 99, 102, 103
E	Formulas to value easements 99, 102-104, 111-113, 255, 261, 264 Forsyth County, N.C. 85, 106
Easements (see conservation easements)	Franklin, Mass., COCS study 150
East Hopewell Township, Pa. 61, 124	Franklin Land Trust, Mass. 100
East Nantmeal Township, Pa. 124	Frederick County, Md., COCS study 150
···································	Fremont County, Idaho 63, 76, 123

3 3 0

```
Kentucky 29, 40, 85, 88, 100, 152, 171, 176, 203, 206, 211-214
                                                                           Kinderhook, N.Y., COCS study 150
GAAMPs (see generally accepted agricultural and management
                                                                           King County, Wash. 22, 33, 69, 84, 88, 94, 96, 97, 101, 104, 105,
                                                                                219, 220, case study 270-285, 287, 288, 297, 303-305, 307
     practices)
Gallatin County, Mont. 123, 127, 134
                                                                                 Agricultural production districts 279, 282
                                                                                 Farmland Preservation Program 22, 105, 277, 279, 280, 285,
General plans 31, 318
Generally accepted agricultural and management practices
                                                                                      287, 307
     (GAAMPs) 22, 171, 172, 175, 181, 182, 320
                                                                                 Interim Community Development Association 284
Geographic Information Systems 22, 90, 305, 320
Georgia 40, 152, 154, 171, 176, 180, 181
Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Calif. 228
                                                                            Lacey, Wash. 286, 290
Green Acres differential assessment program, Minn. 154, 207-209
                                                                            Lake Elmo, Minn., COCS study 150
                                                                            Lancaster County, Pa. 8, 15, 32, 52, 54, 59, 71, 88, 92, 95, 103, 124
Green Swamp (Fla.) 94
Greenbelt Alliance 227, 242
                                                                                 Agricultural Preserve Board 32
Greenbelt, Marin County, Calif., 232
                                                                            Land bank 100, 298
                                                                            Land Preservation Subdivision (LPS) 22, 62, 318
 Growth Management Act
     Maryland 31
                                                                            Land trusts 22, 35, 85, 96, 100, 102, 103, 212, 220, 230-232, 236,
      Washington 22, 30, 31, 278, 279, 282, 286, 292, 293, 297
                                                                                 240, 285, 307, 313, 319, 320
 Growth management laws 17, 30, 31, 38, 39, 219
                                                                             Large minimum lot size zoning 317
      Act 200, Vt. 31
                                                                             Leverett, Mass., COCS study 150
      Act 250, Vt. 30, 31
                                                                             Lincoln Institute of Land Policy 313
      Hawaii 30
                                                                             Litchfield, Conn., COCS study 150
      Maryland 30, 31, 219, 255
                                                                             Little Compton, R.I., COCS study 150
      New Jersey 30, 31
                                                                             London Grove, Pa. 124
      Oregon 30, 31
                                                                             Long Island, N.Y. 37, 84, 121, 124
      Vermont 30, 31
                                                                             Louisiana 40, 51, 152, 171, 173, 176, 180, 182
      Washington 22, 30, 31, 278, 279, 282, 286, 292, 293, 297
                                                                             LPS (see Land Preservation Subdivision)
                                                                             Lumberton Township, N.J. 123, 128, 132
 н
 Harford County, Md. 95, case study 267-270
      Development envelope 270
                                                                             Madison Township, Ohio, COCS study 150
  Hawaii 30, 152, 154, 171, 176, 182
                                                                             Madison Village, Ohio, COCS study 150
  Healdsburg, Calif. 242
                                                                             Maine 40, 51, 84, 88, 94, 103, 152, 153, 171, 176
  Hebron, Conn., COCS study 150
                                                                             MALPF (see Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Foundation)
                                                                              MALPP (see Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Program)
  Hector, N.Y., COCS study 150
                                                                              MALT (see Marin County, Calif., Marin Agricultural Land Trust)
  Homestead Act 4
  Hopewell Township, Pa. 61, 124
                                                                              Manheim Township, Pa. 124, 128, 137
  Hopkinton, R.I., COCS study 150
                                                                              Marathon County, Wis. 15, 65
  Howard County, Md. 85, 99-101, 123, 125, 127, 219, 220, 255-
                                                                              Marin County, Calif. 22, 66, 84, 85, 88, 96, 102, 123, 219, 221,
       257, case study 262-265, 267, 268, 270-271, 306
                                                                                   case study 228-233, 237, 238, 243, 247, 303, 307
       Agriculture Economic Council 265
                                                                                   Greenbelt, Marin County 232
       Installment purchase plan 100, 263, 264, 268, 270
                                                                                   Marin Agricultural Land Trust (MALT) 22, 96, 102, 230-232, 307
       Rural Conservation Zone 264
                                                                              Maryland 14, 21, 22, 30, 31, 39, 49, 52, 71, 84, 85, 88, 90-92, 96,
       Transfer of development rights (TDR) 123, 125, 127
                                                                                   99-102, 104-106, 116, 123, 125, 129, 140, 141, 150-152, 157,
       Work Force for the Preservation of Howard County
                                                                                   171, 176, 203, 206, 213-215, 219, 221, case study 251-274,
            Farmland 263, 306
                                                                                   289, 303, 308
                                                                                   Agricultural transfer tax 254, 255, 258, 267
                                                                                    Baltimore County case study 265-267
   Illinois 40, 88, 152, 171, 172
                                                                                   Carroll County case study 257, 258
   Independence, Minn., COCS study 150
                                                                                    Economic Growth, Resource Protection and Planning Act 255
   Inheritance taxes 12, 213
                                                                                    Harford County case study 267-270
   Intermodal Surface Transportation Act (ISTEA) 103
                                                                                    Howard County case study 262-265
   Inventory of agricultural land 30, 38, 57, 219, 303-305, 307
                                                                                    Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Foundation
   lowa 15, 34, 38, 40, 52, 54-56, 58, 59, 61, 65, 66, 70, 71, 147, 152,
                                                                                         (MALPF) 22, 254, 270
        156, 157, 170, 171, 176, 181, 183, 185, 186, 198, 203, 207,
                                                                                    Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Program
        210, 211, 213, 215, 319
                                                                                         (MALPP) 22, 254, 257, 258, 263, 267, 268
        Agricultural Areas Act 211, 213
                                                                               Maryland Environmental Trust (MET) 22, 256, 267
        Farm Bureau 210
                                                                                    Maryland Office of Planning 255
   Island County, Wash. 124, 128, 132, 277
                                                                                    Montgomery County case study 259-262
   ISTEA (see Intermodal Surface Transportation Act)
                                                                                    Rural Legacy Program 256, 271
                                                                               Massachusetts 22, 29, 38, 83, 84, 88-90, 96, 98, 100, 101, 103, 105,
                                                                                     108, 110, 123, 150, 152, 171, 176, 321
   Jackson County, Colo. 61
                                                                                     Agricultural Incentive Areas Law 204, 206
   Jefferson County, N.Y. 159
                                                                                     Farm Viability Project 38
    Jericho, Vt. 130, 131
                                                                                McHenry County, Ill. 53
                                                                                Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act, Calif. 226
                                                                                Mello-Roos district, Solano County, Calif. 244, 245
    Kansas 40, 51, 152, 170, 171, 176, 183
                                                                                Mentoring programs 283
    Kensington, Md. 259
```

Mercer County, N.J. 100	
MET (see Maryland Environmental Tours)	New York, cont.
Michopolitan Agricultural Preserves Program Adv	State Advisory Council on Agriculture 159
	Watershed Agricultural Council, N.Y. 94
Michigan 29, 34, 40, 51, 85, 88, 101, 103, 147, 151, 152, 155, 161-	Nisqually Valley, Thurston County, Wash. 286-289, 305
164, 171, 173, 176, 182,183, 214	Nisqually Agricultural Zone 287
Farmland and Agricultural Development Task Force 29	Nisqually Sub-Area Plan 287
Department of Agriculture 162	North Carolina 38 40 88 152 157 170
rarm Bureau 161	North Carolina 38, 40, 88, 152, 157, 172, 175, 178, 181, 182, 204, 206, 207, 215
Minneapolis-St. Paul 38 67 151 202 202 205 205	Farmland Preservation Enabling Act 206
Minnesota 38, 40, 52, 59, 67, 68, 123, 150-154, 170, 171, 176,182,	Nuisance disclaimer 65, 198
197, 203, 205, 207, 208, 210, 211, 213-215	Nuisance law 169, 186
Agricultural Land Preservation Law 67, 205	Nuisance protection 171 172 175 105
Agricultural Land Preservation Program 22, 202, 207	Nuisance protection 171, 172, 175, 180, 181, 296
Green Acres differential assessment 22, 202, 207	0
Green Acres differential assessment program 154, 207-209	Ohio 29, 40, 88, 150, 152, 157, 179, 179
Metropolitan Agricultural Preserves Program 202, 207, 208 Mississippi 7, 40, 51, 152, 171, 176, 180, 184	Ohio 29, 40, 88, 150, 152, 157, 172, 178, 182, 199, 202, 203, 205- 207, 213, 215
Mitigation ordinances 33, 321	
Davis, Calif. 33	Oklahoma 35, 40, 152, 172, 178, 183 Olney, Md. 260, 261
King County, Wash. 33	Olympia Wash 125 142 205 20
Model right-to-form and an action of the analysis	Olympia, Wash. 135, 142, 285, 286, 290, 291
Model right-to-farm ordinance, Calif. 173, 187, 188	TDR receiving ordinance 128, 135, 290 Orange County, N.Y. 212
Monitoring and enforcement of easements 66, 105, 280 Monterey, Calif. 53, 85	Oregon 30, 21, 40, 52, 50
	Oregon 30, 31, 40, 52, 58, 152, 172, 178
Montgomery County, Md. 71, 85, 92, 95, 97, 99, 107, 116, 123, 125, 129, 131, 132, 135, 137, 141, 227, 227, 141, 227, 141, 227, 141, 227, 141, 227, 141, 227, 141, 227,	1972 Land Conservation and Development Act 30
	Use of agricultural protection zoning xiii
	P
Transfer 7. 100 00	
	PA 116, Mich. 155, 163
On Wedges and Corridors 259, 260	PACE (see purchase of agricultural conservation easements) Palm Beach County Fla. 122
Plan for the Preservation of Agricultural Land and Open Space	
	Palouse, Wash. 277
Montour, N.Y., COCS study 150	PDR (see purchase of development rights)
Multi-purpose PACE programs 92	reminsula Iownship, Mich 85 102
N	Pennsylvania 15, 35, 39, 40, 51, 52, 61, 71, 84, 88, 90-92, 95, 96,
Napa County, Calif. 15, 38, 53, 59, 69, 70, 219, 220, 227, case study 233-237, 243, 247, 202, 204, 202	-,
	Act 319, "Clean and Green" 151, 153
Agricultural Watershed District 224	Agricultural Lands Condemnation Approved B
General Plan 235	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Measure A 235, 244, 247	Petaluma, Calif. 227
Measure J 53, 235, 236, 247	Pierce County, Wash. 298
Winery ordinance 38	Pike Place Market, King County, Wash. 279, 283, 284
Napa Valley, Calif. 8, 233, 234, 237	Dartens, 14, 1, 1/21, 1/4
National Agricultural Lands Study 22, 51, 84, 122	Pinelands, N.J. 37, 121, 123, 125, 128, 131, 132, 137, 139
rational Agricultural Library 20	
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)	
(Iornerly Soil Conservation Services on all IIIC B	Planned Unit Development (PUD) 22, 293-296, 321
	2 one Reyes National Seashore Calif 229
	ronnet, Conn., COCS study 150
Wetlands Reserve Program 7	Preferential assessment (see also differential assessment)147, 152,
Farmland Protection Policy Act (see also Natural	
resources Conservation Service) 10 22 20	Prime farmland 3, 41, 88, 225, 263, 295, 297
A at this it is folection Program 20, 21	rioperty rights 1/, 33, 35, 51, 52, 121, 185, 196, 226, 226, 226, 226, 226, 226, 226, 2
10014384 40, 132, 1/2, 1/6, 180	
New Hampshire 40, 84, 88, 152, 154, 172, 178	Property taxes 3, 11-13, 16, 34, 83, 102, 147-149, 151, 153, 155, 156, 158, 159, 161, 163, 188, 207, 207, 207, 207, 207, 207, 207, 207
11cw jersey 13, 31, 37, 39, 40, 84, 88, 95, 97, 100, 100	
	r 15, Calli, ZZD
	PUD (see planned unit development)
New Mexico 40, 152, 172, 178, 181, 182	ruget Sound region. Wash 277 270
100 100 22, 34, 38, 39, 40, 84, 88, 94, 124, 147, 150, 457, 150	rurenase of agricultural conservation accounts (2)
	1000 MISO CUIISEI VAITON eacements and
rigircultural and Farmland Protection Roands (A Eng.) 20. 222	
Department of Agriculture and Markets 210, 216	
- utili Dateau 139, 216	268, 270, 277, 278, 281, 285-289, 291, 297, 298, 303, 305-
New York City 39, 94	
• •	California 84-88, 91, 92, 94-96, 101, 105
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Purchase of agricultural conservation easements (PACE), cont.	Q Quarter-quarter zoning 59
Agricultural Land Stewardship program 94	Queen Anne's County, Md. 123, 125
Davis 103	Quoon //
Marin County 84, 85, 87, 96, 102	R
Monterey County 85	Ranchettes 49, 62
Solano County 103	Rancho Solano, Calif. (lawsuit) 243-245
Sonoma County 85, 87, 103	Real estate transfer taxes 101, 105, 254, 321
Colorado 86-88	"Receiving" parcel (see transfer of development rights) 121
Boulder 87 Connecticut 84, 86, 88, 90-92, 96, 100, 101, 105	Red Hook, N.Y., COCS study 150
Branford 85	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 6, 173
Delaware 85, 86, 88, 90-92, 96, 105, 106	Restrictive agreements 34, 147, 153-155, 162, 163, 319, 322
Agricultural Lands Strategy Map 91	Rezoning 32, 50, 52, 54, 65, 67, 68, 198, 206, 213, 244, 269
Florida 87, 94	Rhode Island 40, 84, 88, 150, 152, 172, 178
Kentucky 85, 86, 88, 100	Right-to-farm laws 14, 18, 29, 34, 35, 167-194, 296
Maine 84 86, 88, 94, 103	Alabama 171, 175, 176
Maryland 84, 86, 88, 90-92, 94, 100-102, 104-106	Alaska 171, 176, 180
Carroll County 85	Arizona 171, 176, 183
Harford County 95, 99, 100, 101	Arkansas 171, 176, 180 California 35, 171, 173, 176, 180, 184, 185-188
Howard County 85, 99, 101	Model right-to-farm ordinance 173, 187, 188
Montgomery County 85, 92, 95, 97, 99, 107	Colorado 171, 176, 182
Rural Legacy Program 256, 271	Connecticut 171, 176, 182
Massachusetts 84, 86, 88-90, 94, 96, 98, 101	Concentrated animal feeding operations 173
Massachusetts Agricultural Preservation Restriction	Delaware 171, 176, 182
Program 89	Federal environmental regulations 173
Option to Purchase Protected Land at Agricultural Value	Florida 171, 176, 181, 184
108-110	Georgia 171, 176, 180, 181
Michigan 85-88, 101, 103 Peninsula Township 85, 87, 102	Hawaii 171, 176, 182
Multi-purpose PACE programs 92	Idaho 171, 176, 180-182
New Hampshire 84, 86, 88	Illinois 171, 176, 182
New Jersey 84, 86, 88, 95, 96, 100-102, 104	Indiana 171, 176
Burlington County 100	lowa 170, 171, 176, 181, 183, 185, 186
Criteria and Formula for Ranking PACE	Kansas 170, 171, 176, 183
Applications 111-114	Louisiana 171, 173, 176, 180, 182 Maine 171, 176
Mercer County 100	Maryland 171, 176
New York 84, 88, 94	Massachusetts 171, 176
New York City 94	Michigan 171, 173, 176, 182, 183
Southampton 97, 100	Minnesota 170, 171, 176, 182
Suffolk County 84, 88, 94, 96-98	Mississippi 171, 176, 180, 184
Pittsford 85	Missouri 171, 176, 180-182
North Carolina 85 Forsyth County 85, 106	Model right-to-farm ordinance 173, 176, 187
Pennsylvania 84, 86-88, 90, 92, 95, 96, 100-106	Montana 171, 176
Adams County 92, 95, 103	Nebraska 172, 176, 180
Berks County 103	Nevada 172, 178
Buckingham Township 87	New Hampshire 172, 178
Chester County 92, 95, 103	New Jersey 172, 178, 182, 185 New Mexico 172, 178, 181, 182
Cumberland County 103	New York 172, 178, 182
Lancaster County 92, 95	North Carolina 172, 175, 178, 181, 182, 184
York County 103	North Dakota 172, 178, 180, 182
Rhode Island 84, 86, 88	Ohio 172, 178, 182
Vermont 84, 86, 88, 92, 96, 98, 101-103, 105	Oklahoma 172, 178, 183
Vermont Housing and Conservation Board, 93, 97, 98	Oregon 172, 178
Virginia 85, 87	Pennsylvania 172, 178, 182
Virginia Beach 85, 87, 100, 102, 103	Provisions of state right-to-farm laws 176-179
Washington 84 King County 84, 87, 88, 94, 96, 97, 101, 104, 105	Protected farming operations 180
San Juan County 87, 99, 100	South Carolina 172, 178, 181, 182
San Juan County 87, 59, 100 Skagit County 85	South Dakota 172, 178, 181, 182
Thurston County, 85, 102, 107	State right-to-farm laws 171, 172
Wisconsin 87	Tennessee 172, 178, 182, 183
Dunn 87	Texas 172, 178
Purchase of development rights (PDR) (see also purchase of agricul-	Utah 170, 172, 178, 180, 182
tural conservation easements) 14, 22, 36, 68, 138, 139, 321	Vermont 172, 178, 180
	Virginia 172, 178, 182 Washington 172, 178, 183, 184
	44 manim Bron -1 -1 -1 -1 -1

Right-to-farm laws, cont.	Sunderland, Mass. 123
West Virginia 172, 178	Superfund Law 6, 173
Wisconsin 172, 178, 182	
Wyoming 172, 178, 183	T
Rohnert Park, Calif. 242	Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Nev. 122, 129
Rollback taxes 319	Takings 51, 129, 185, 235, 322
Routt County, Colorado 62	Talbot County, Md. 123, 125, 136
Rural Legacy Program, Maryland 256, 271	Tax credits 34, 67, 147, 155-157, 160, 161, 163, 214, 268
Russell Creek, Walla Walla County, Wash. 70, 293, 294	Tax incentives 147, 156, 225, 283
Russell Creek Planning Area Committee 294	Tax sharing 227, 236
Ü	Taxed Ground 159
S	TDC (see transfer of development credits)
Sales tax as funding source for PACE 103, 161, 227, 236, 238, 239,	TDR (see transfer of development rights)
244, 308	Tennessee 51, 152, 172, 178, 182, 183, 203, 213, 215
Salinas Valley, Calif. 88	Termination of easements 105
San Juan County, Wash. 99, 100, 298	Texas 4, 40, 51, 52, 152, 172, 178
San Juan County Land Bank 100	The Nature Conservancy 98
San Luis Obispo County, Calif. 123, 128, 130-132	Thurston County, Wash. 22, 69, 71, 85, 102, 107, 124, 128, 129,
San Mateo County, Calif. 56, 58, 61, 133	131, 135, 137, 138, 142, 219, 220, 277, case study 285-291,
Bonus development credits 127, 133	297, 298, 305, 306, 308
Santa Rosa, Calif. 242	1976 Comprehensive Plan 277, 286
Scott County, Iowa 56, 58, 65	Conservation Futures Levy 288, 298
Seattle, Wash. 84, 277, 279, 283, 285, 288	Farmers Against Regulatory Mischief 287
Sebastopol, Calif. 242	Long-term Agriculture Zone 289
"Sending" parcel (see transfer of development rights) 121	Nisqually Valley 286-289, 305
Setback 63, 104, 231, 254, 322	
Shrewsbury Township, Pa. 124, 137	Nisqually Sub Area Plan 287
Silent Spring 6	Nisqually Sub-Area Plan 287
Skagit County, Wash. 85, 298	Townsend, Mass. 123
Conservation Futures Levy 288, 298	Transfer of development credits (TDC) (see also transfer of
PACE program 298	development rights) 37, 121, 130, 319, 322
Soil and water conservation district 22, 206	California 121
Soil Conservation Service (see also Natural Resources Conservation	New Jersey 121
Service) 22, 321	Transfer of development rights (TDR) 15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 37, 39, 5
	69, 71, 85, 106, 107, 113, 119-142, 215, 220, 229, 238, 246,
Solano County, Calif. 41-44, 55, 103, 219, 220, 227, case study 243-247, 303, 304, 307, 308	256, 260-262, 265, 270, 271, 277, 278, 286, 289-291, 297,
Farmland and Open Space Foundation 244, 307	306, 308, 309, 319, 322
Orderly Growth Committee 244	California 37, 122
Mello-Roos district 244, 245	Marin County, 123
Proposition A 243, 244, 247-249	San Mateo County, 123, 127, 131
Rancho Solano, Calif. (lawsuit) 243-245	San Luis Obispo 123, 128, 130 Colorado, Boulder County 123
Sonoma County, Calif. 71, 85, 88, 103, 219, 220, 227, 230, case	•
	Connecticut, Windsor 123
study 237-242, 246, 247, 303, 304 Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District 238, 240	Florida, Palm Beach County 123
Agricultural Resources Element 240	Idaho, Fremont County 123
Farm Bureau 238	Maryland 123,125, 136 Calvert County 123, 125, 136-139
Farmland Initiative, Measure C 238, 240, 304	• • • •
Select Sonoma County 241	Caroline County 123, 125
Sonoma Land Trust 240	Charles County 123, 125, 136
South Burlington, Vt. 124	Harford County 123, 125
<u> </u>	Howard County 123, 125, 127
South Carolina 40, 152, 172, 178, 181, 182	Montgomery County 123, 125, 129, 135, 137-140
South Dakota 40, 152, 172, 178, 181, 182	Queen Anne's County 123, 125
Southampton, N.Y. 97, 100, 124	St. Mary's County 123, 125, 136
Special assessments, protection from 157, 198, 207, 214	Talbot County 123, 125, 136
Sphere of influence 66, 227, 232, 246, 321	Massachusetts 123
Spokane County, Wash. 58, 65, 72, 277	Sunderland 123
Exclusive APZ ordinance 61	Townsend 123
Sprawl 3, 8, 50, 70, 135, 136, 227, 253, 266, 269, 290, 309, 323	Minnesota, Blue Earth County 123, 127
Springfield Township, Pa. 124	Montana, Gallatin County, Springhill Community 123, 127,
Springhill Community, Mont. 123, 127, 134, 137	134, 137
St. Paul-Minneapolis, Minn. (see Minneapolis-St. Paul)	Nevada 129
Stanislaus County, Calif. 32	New Jersey 37, 121, 125
Story County, Iowa 54	Burlington County 123, 128
Straban Township, Pa., COCS study 150	Lumberton Township 123, 128, 135
Strategic location of farms 92	New Jersey Pinelands Commission 123, 125, 128, 129,
Suffolk County, N.Y. 84, 88, 94, 96-98, 159, 254	137, 139

	Vermont, cont.
Transfer of development rights, cont.	Act 200 31
New York 37, 121 Central Pine Barrens, Long Island 37, 121, 124	Act 250 30, 31 Agriculture and Managed Forest Land Use Value program 158
	Debt-for-Easements program 103
Eden 124 Perinton 124	Governor's Tax Force on Current Use 159
Southampton 124	Vermont Land Trust 102, 103
Propositivania 124, 125	1. E Tay Absternent program 138
Berks County, Washington Township 124	3r -1-1- 27 29 40 51 52 88, 125, 127, 130, 130, 132, 137, 172,
Rucks County 124	178, 182, 197, 203, 204, 206, 207, 210, 211, 213
Buckingham Township 124	Virginia Beach, Va. 85, 100, 102, 103
Warrington Township 124, 136	,
Chester County 124	W 1 202 207 203 308
East Nantmeal Township 124	W Walla Walla County, Wash. 10, 70, case study 292-297, 303, 308
London Grove Township 124	D1ia Committee 194 (23)
Lancaster County, Manheim Township 124, 128, 137	Russell Creek, Walla Walla County, Wash. 70, 293, 294
York County 124, 127, 134	Russell Creek Area Planning Committee 294
Chanceford Township 124 Codorus Township 124	Walla Walla 2020 295
East Hopewell Township 124	Warrington Township, Pa. 124, 136 Washington 10, 21, 22, 30, 31, 51, 52, 58, 70, 71, 106, 124, 152, Washington 10, 21, 22, 30, 31, 51, 52, 58, 70, 71, 106, 124, 152,
Hopewell Township 124	Washington 10, 21, 22, 30, 31, 31, 32, 33, 35, 31, 31, 32, 33, 31, 31, 32, 33, 33, 31, 31, 32, 32, 32, 32, 32, 32, 32, 32, 32, 32
Shrewsbury Township 124, 137	Conservation futures levy 288, 298
Springfield Township 124	Growth Management Act 30
"Sending" and "receiving" parcels 121	King County case study 270-285
TDR bank 121, 124, 126, 136-138, 322	State University, Pullman 277, 292, 293
TDR receiving ordinance 128, 133, 290	Thurston County case study 283-291
Utah, Tooele County 124	Walla Walla County case study 292-297
Vermont 124	Washington County, N.Y. 212
Jericho 124, 130	Washington, D.C. 125, 253, 255-257, 259, 262
South Burlington 124	Watershed 39, 53, 59, 94, 234-236
Williston 124	Watershed Agricultural Council, N.Y. 94
Virginia 125, 127, 138	West Greenwich, Conn., COCS study 150
Washington 124 Island County 124, 128	West Virginia 40, 51, 88, 152, 172, 178
Thurston County 124, 128, 129, 135, 137, 138	Westford, Mass., COCS study 150 Wetlands Reserve Program (see also Natural Resources Conservation
Tumwater, Wash. 286, 290	Service) 7
Twin Cities, Mich. (see Minneapolis-St. Paul)	Wheaton, Md. 259
twin Onico, America	o . w 71 27/ J9X
U	Whitman County, Wash. 71, 277, 220 Williamson Act, Calif. 153, 154, 201, 202, 221, 225, 227, 232, 234,
TIC Deserment of Agriculture)	246
IIS Department of Agriculture 5-7, 19, 20, 63, 102, 216, 514,	Williamstown, Mass., COCS study 150
Commodity Credit Corporation 20	Williston, Vt. 124
Farm Service Agency 6	Windsor, Calif. 242
Land Capability Class 19 Soil Conservation Service (now Natural Resources Conservation	Windsor, Conn. 123, 131
	Winery ordinance, Napa County, Calif. 38, 236 Wisconsin 34, 40, 51, 52, 58, 67, 71, 88, 147, 150-152, 155-157,
Service) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) 7, 19-22, 65,	160, 162, 172,178
91, 106, 130, 156, 262, 306, 313, 321	Farm Bureau 160
Wetlands Reserve Program 7	Act 27 160
UGBs (see urban growth boundaries)	What denville Wash 284
Unique farmland 3, 20, 38, 103	Working Farm Tax Abatement program, Vt. 138
· 22 50 53 53 139 5/5	WDD (cae Wetlands Reserve Program)
/and also urban officer in Duning its 1 203 200	Wyoming 35, 40, 49, 51, 52, 152, 172, 178, 183
Urban growth boundaries 22, 31, 33, 227, 242, 263, 269, 27	, -
Heban-influenced counties 3, / 1	Y
Use value assessment 34, 147, 151, 160, 305, 319	Yolo County, Calif. 41, 42
Use value assessment 34, 147, 151, 166, 565, 575, 170, 172, 178, 180, 182, 199, 204, Utah 4, 40, 51, 124, 152, 157, 170, 172, 178, 180, 182, 199, 204,	York County, Pa. 124, 127, 134
207, 210, 211, 215	
••	Zoning (see also agricultural protection zoning and agricultural zone)
V Vacaville, Calif. 243, 246	43 45 47 33 30 34 3/ 41, 42, 43, 33, 3/32, 01 03, 00 01,
Vacaville, Canif. 243, 246 Vallejo, Calif. 246	(0.71.72.75.99.105.187.113.113, 121, 123*132, 134.137)
Valuing easements 99, 255, 264, 270	100 444 157 169 189 197 205 234, 213, 242, 227, 427,
Vashon Island, Wash. 284	220 222 234 235 238 240, 241, 243, 247, 238, 200, 201
on 110 50	267 269 270, 277, 279, 282, 286, 287, 271, 273, 273,
Vermont 8, 20, 22, 30, 31, 40, 84, 88, 92, 93, 97, 98, 101-103, 10-1	298, 305, 307-309, 317, 318, 320, 322, 323
124, 152, 158, 159, 162, 172, 178, 180, 232	Cluster zoning 33, 113, 127, 318

Advance Praise for Saving American Farmland: What Works...

"Farmland protection is an issue that deserves more attention from the agricultural sector, and American Farmland Trust is a leader in promoting understanding of its importance. It is extremely important that farmers, communities and policymakers know how we can jointly protect the farms and ranches that provide us with food, wildlife habitat, clean water and open space."

Honorable Dan Glickman U.S. Secretary of Agriculture

"Saving American Farmland: What Works is an indispensable guide for local officials and their constituents. It explains how to use the tools that can prevent urban sprawl from taking over the countryside."

Larry Naake Executive Director National Association of Counties

"American Farmland Trust has always emphasized the need for conservation groups to look beyond the land to the people who work it. The information and case studies in this book will help local land trusts expand their knowledge of farmland protection techniques and develop strategies to work with farmers and ranchers to safeguard our agricultural, scenic and ecological resources."

> Jay Fetcher President Colorado Cattleman's Agricultural Land Trust

"If the family farm is going to survive in the next century, farmers are going to need to know almost as much about land use issues as they do about growing crops and raising livestock. Saving American Farmland: What Works is a great resource for farmers and ranchers who care about the future of agriculture and their land. If you're farming near a residential or commercial area, you need this book."

Steve Weber First Vice President Maryland Farm Bureau



